

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/826,388	04/19/2004	Norio Koma	57810-100	9741	
7590 12/14/2005			EXAMINER		
McDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY			VU, PHU		
600 13th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
wasnington, D	20005-3090		2871		

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applic	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/82	5,388	KOMA ET AL.				
		Exami	ner	Art Unit				
		Phu V		2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHICHEV - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAD IT IN THE MAD IT IT IT IN THE MAD IT	AILING DATE OF of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. lutory period will apply a will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNICATION be event, however, may a reply be tire d will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•					
1)∐ Res _i	oonsive to communication(s) file	d on	•					
2a)∏ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)☐ Sinc								
clos	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.								
• —	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)∏ Clai	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)∭ Clai	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠ Clai	m(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or election	requirement.					
Application P	apers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)∐ Ackr	owledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
a)∏ Al	•							
1.	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of F	references Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of D	raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		Paper No(s)/Mail [Date	FO 450\			
	n Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or s)/Mail Date	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (P1	10-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- I. Figure 13 (suggested claim 4-5 and 16-17) liquid crystal display with second region extending continuously among two pixels in 2 directions.
- II. Figures 3, 21, 23, 24 (suggested claims 2-4, 10-12, 15-16 and 23-24) liquid crystal display with second region extending continuously in only 1 direction.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-2, 6-9, 13-14, 18, 19-22 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/826,388

Art Unit: 2871

Page 3

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Phu Vu

2871

671) 272-1562

INDREW SCHECHTER